

From: [Hughes, Angela](#)
To: [Ursula Lennox/R6/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: ['jluedecke@quapawtribe.com'](#); ['tkent@quapawtribe.com'](#); ['mark.osborn@integris-health.com'](#); ['licratrust@gmail.com'](#); [\(b\) \(6\)](#); [Penisten, Martha](#); ['rtpowell@environment.ok.gov'](#); [Cates, David](#); [Datin, Dennis](#); [Janetta Coats/R6/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Gary Baumgarten/R6/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Robert Sullivan/R6/USEPA/US@EPA](#); ['ottawacountyd1@sbcglobal.net'](#); [Mason, Clyde](#); [Robbins, Sharon](#)
Subject: Re: Repopulation of Relocation Zone
Date: 01/20/2012 07:45 AM

Thank you Ursula for your input. I would like to propose a meeting with all interested parties in early February.

Please let me know if February 8th at 10:30 is open.

I'd like to ask John Clarke to have the meeting at his county barn in Picher. So stay tuned for confirmation of the time and location.

Angela

From: Ursula Lennox [mailto:Lennox.Ursula@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2012 03:03 PM
To: Hughes, Angela
Cc: 'James Luedecke' <JLuedecke@quapawtribe.com>; 'Tim Kent' <TKent@quapawtribe.com>; 'mark osborn' <Mark.Osborn@integris-health.com>; 'Larry Roberts' <licratrust@gmail.com>; 'Jack dalrymple' <(b) (6)>; Penisten, Martha; 'Powell, Tyler' <rtpowell@environment.ok.gov>; Cates, David; Datin, Dennis; Ursula Lennox <Lennox.Ursula@epamail.epa.gov>; Janetta Coats <Coats.Janetta@epamail.epa.gov>; Gary Baumgarten <Baumgarten.Gary@epamail.epa.gov>; Robert Sullivan <Sullivan.Robert@epamail.epa.gov>; ottawacountyd1@sbcglobal.net <ottawacountyd1@sbcglobal.net>; Mason, Clyde; Robbins, Sharon
Subject: Fw: Repopulation of Relocation Zone

Good Afternoon Angela,

I am all for having a meeting on the items you identified, so that all parties have the same understanding and know what to expect. With that said, would like to provide some observations/feedback on your attached e-mail, my feedback is in blue print.

1. List of Buyout Participants and Property
 DEQ has two participant lists that I work from: Cinnabar list and the BKD list. These have all buyout related info including: parcel number, name, address, and costs. I believe this to all be public information and could be shared. The prudent route in my mind is to share these minus the cost information.

**I'd like your feedback on sharing the info with and without costs to the Quapaw Tribe, the County, EPA, and possibly utility companies (rural water district, electric, gas)
 What about DEQ's perc tests of septic systems?**

I concur that everyone should be provided with a redacted list. Before the list is provided, all of the properties along with their size of the area should be listed with GPS coordinates, that way the property can be identified and located without street signs. This information will also be helpful for the utility companies especially if they upload this information in their database

and flag the areas. That way they will know instantly areas that should not be occupied.

2. State statute language

I've provided the SB1463 that lays out the penalty for moving back into the area if the buyout was taken. See section 7604 page 6.

In reading section 7604 that is found on page 6, it seems that this language only pertains to persons who participated in the buyout. It does not include trespassers or squatters who had no involvement in the LICRAT effort. With that said, would the protocol be to call the police and have those persons arrested for trespassing on the property bought by LICRAT?

The Trust is willing to proceed with action, if appropriate. It appears to me that we have several issues here.

A. **Is the person currently occupying the property a Buyout Participant per the lists we have?**

B. **Is the property the person is occupying a Buyout Property?**

C. **How do we determine if the property is a Buyout Property or not with no street signs?**

D. **Whose responsibility is it to manage this issue?** Short-term – Trust? Long-term (after property disposition) – Quapaw tribe - [The entity that is holding the titles to these properties should be the ones managing these issues.](#)

3. Utility services

Who should take the lead on contacting the local utility companies? [The entity that is still in control of the property, be it LICRAT, ODEQ, or the Quapaw Tribe. Also, the recommendation mention above \(#1\), should also be discussed with the companies.](#)

Should a letter be developed that explains the situation and provides them with the addresses that cannot/should not be hooked up?

[Similar to your suggestion of putting notices in the newspaper on an annual basis reminding the public on the appropriate uses of chat, a reminder should also be placed in the newspaper notifying the public they will be faced with fines, if they occupy land that was purchased by LICRAT. This activity will be carried out by LICRAT, or ODEQ - if LICRAT disbands, or the Quapaw Tribe \(if they assume the purchased property\). Yearly meetings with the utility companies should also be conducted to ensure continuity in protocol should personnel change.](#)

•

Any suggestions here would be appreciated.

- [The person in your site office could travel the area on a quarterly basis, keeping a list/map of the roads on which she traveled, to ensure areas are not being repopulated. The Quapaw Tribe \(who will receive this property\) can coordinate with the local office on the areas they will cover, so that twice as much area can be visited without overlap or duplication.](#)

Have a great afternoon.

Ursula Lennox

Remedial Project Manager
U.S. EPA (6SF-RL)
(214) 665-6743
Lennox.Ursula@epa.gov

----- Forwarded by Ursula Lennox/R6/USEPA/US on 01/19/2012 12:58 PM -----

From: "Hughes, Angela" <Angela.Hughes@deq.ok.gov>
To: 'James Luedecke' <JLuedecke@quapawtribe.com>, 'Tim Kent' <TKent@quapawtribe.com>, 'mark osborn' <Mark.Osborn@integris-health.com>, 'Larry Roberts' <licratrust@gmail.com>, 'Jack dalrymple' <(b) (6)>, 'Penisten, Martha' <Martha.Penisten@deq.ok.gov>, 'Powell, Tyler' <rtpowell@environment.ok.gov>, 'Cates, David' <David.Cates@deq.ok.gov>, 'Datin, Dennis' <Dennis.Datin@deq.ok.gov>, Ursula Lennox/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Janetta Coats/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Gary Baumgarten/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Robert Sullivan/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, "ottawacountyd1@sbcglobal.net" <ottawacountyd1@sbcglobal.net>, "Mason, Clyde" <Clyde.Mason@deq.ok.gov>, "Robbins, Sharon" <Sharon.Robbins@deq.ok.gov>
Cc: "Collins, Gary" <Gary.Collins@deq.ok.gov>
Date: 12/23/2011 09:46 AM
Subject: Repopulation of Relocation Zone

Good morning:

This is a follow up email to our earlier conversations about the issue of people moving into or back into the relocation zone. There are several issues to discuss so I will start by listing the issues then I will address the two properties that we are currently aware. Please feel free to comment on the issues – any feedback is greatly appreciated. Perhaps we should have a meeting to discuss these issues? I would be happy to set that up if that is the consensus. Please look at the sent to list and let me know if I should include other parties.

1. List of Buyout Participants and Property

DEQ has two participant lists that I work from: Cinnabar list and the BKD list. These have all buyout related info including: parcel number, name, address, and costs. I believe this to all be public information and could be shared. The prudent route in my mind is to share these minus the cost information.

**I'd like your feedback on sharing the info with and without costs to the Quapaw Tribe, the County, EPA, and possibly utility companies (rural water district, electric, gas)
What about DEQ's perc tests of septic systems?**

2. State statute language

I've provided the SB1463 that lays out the penalty for moving back into the area if the buyout was taken. See section 7604 page 6.

The Trust is willing to proceed with action, if appropriate. It appears to me that we have several issues here.

- A. **Is the person currently occupying the property a Buyout Participant per the lists we have?**
- B. **Is the property the person is occupying a Buyout Property?**
- C. **How do we determine if the property is a Buyout Property or not with no street signs?**
- D. **Whose responsibility is it to manage this issue?** Short- term – Trust? Long-term (after property disposition) – Quapaw tribe

3. Utility services

Who should take the lead on contacting the local utility companies?

Should a letter be developed that explains the situation and provides them with the addresses that cannot/should not be hooked up?

Any suggestions here would be appreciated.

Currently there are two occupied properties that we are aware of. The names provided to me are: (b) (6) and (b) (6). **Neither are on the Buyout Participant lists.** (b) (6) may have occupied the rental property of (b) (6) at (b) (6) during the buyout but Mr. (b) (6) did not participate.

Mr. (b) (6) has moved a trailer onto property near (b) (6). (b) (6) does not believe that it is on Trust land but said he would check on that. He has driven by the trailer at night and it does appear to have electricity and a large pile of fire wood. We assume no gas service, we know there is no water or sewer service.

(b) (6) appears to be building a house next to one that may have participated in the buyout on (b) (6). (b) (6) said he would check the property on that too. Again Mr. (b) (6) did not participate in the Buyout so the only thing we have would be if he's building on a Trust property. I am not sure if rural water is available in that area.

So give me your feedback and I will compile – I think a meeting is in order after listing out all the issues.

Thank you and Happy Holidays,
Angela Hughes

Angela Hughes
Environmental Programs Manager
Land Protection Division
Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality
wk 405-702-5141
cell 405-535-6054
Angela.Hughes@deq.ok.gov